

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/582,700	EICHHOLZ ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Richard R. Green	3644	

All Participants:

(1) Richard R. Green.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas Burger.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2010

Time: 2:40 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5, 7, 16, 19, 20, 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/R. R. G./

Examiner, Art Unit 3644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested that the incorporation of the dependent claims 4 and 19 into respective independent claims 1 and 16, amended as proposed in the proposed after final amendment of 1/20/2010, would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant indicated that an after-final amendment to the claims making this incorporation and repairing the dependencies of affected dependent claims would be submitted.